Nanotechnology in cleaning: future, foe or fiasco?

TNO | Kennis voor zaken



Anton Duisterwinkel, TNO Delft, the Netherlands ISSA/Interclean May 7, 2007

Nanotechnology in cleaning

• Is it the future



• Is it a foe



e.g. nano-detergents

e.g. self-cleaning

• Or a fiasco



e.g. not functional

a critical analysis of recent developments

(size of symbol reflects my interpretation of the relative success of the *type* of products, not of individual products or trademarks)



Nanotechnology: what is it?

- Nano: structures of 1-100 nanometer • (nm) virus
 - 100-1000 nm: submicron
 - < 1 nm: chemistry</p>
 - Well controlled
- ۲
 - Technology: mass production and use









Nanometer: what is it?





Nanotechnology: any news?

• No! China ware (pottery) start: 9000 BC; glass-like appearance: ~600

> early copies in Europa (e.g. Delftware) much cruder, poor clay technology







clay particles: platelets, diameter: hundreds of nm thickness: tens of nm



Nanotechnology: any news?

• No! Micelles in detergents

Many surfactants form micelles above critical micelle concentration (CMC) micelle: spherule with water-loving parts of molecule on the outside



• Typical micelle diameter: 2-15 nm

bilayers on surfaces of same thickness







Conclusion on nanotechnology in cleaning:

- No future
- No foe
- No fiasco



but FAKE !?



Or is it?

Let us examine some recent developments



Nanotechnology with relevance to cleaning

A. Coatings

- Soil-repellant coatings
- Soil-removing coatings
- Disinfecting coatings
- B. Cleaning agents
 - Nanogreen
 - Nanofibers
 - Nanospray
 - Electrically activated water



Coating technology: lotus effect

- Lotus-effect first understood by Bartlett & Neinhaus, Univ. Bonn, Germany
- Asian symbol of purity, rises clean from muddy water
- Due to structure of nanospheres on microcones
- Particles and droplets sit on the 'lotus-coat' as fakirs on nail-bed

9





urce of images: www.lotus-effekt.de



Coating technology: lotus effect (2)

- Liquids runs off very quickly (water repellant wax + very low friction)
- Water running of drags dust particles, bacteria, fungi et cetera
- Thus, the leaves become cleaned and sanitized
- Effect can be mimicked,
 e.g. with Lotusan® of Bartlett c.s.







Coating technology: lotus effect (3)

- Many different ways of producing 'lotus-surface'
 - Waxy product sprayed on surface
 - Paint like product (e.g. Lotusan®)
 - Laser structuring
 - Polymeric reactions ('raspberries')
 - Polymeric layer with nanoparticles
 - Carbon nanotubes
 - Sol-gel method with clay particles (TNO)





StoCoat[™] Lotusan[®] enables simple, seamless application.





Coating technology: lotus effect (4)

- Nanoparticles are modified and added to a sol-gel coating
- Different structures affect he growth of different types of organisms.



Coating technology: lotus effect (5)

- + Water and soil repellent
- + 'Self cleaning' (if wetted by rain or other method)
- + Invisible
- + Several types commercially available
- Not very durable
 - Easily mechanically damaged
 - Does not repel graffiti
 - Interstices become dirty, greasy; then impossible to clean
- Not always easy to repair
- Not all types applicable on existing surfaces
 - Metal surfaces hard to treat with most systems
 - Care must be taken to apply the coating correctly
- Needs (regular and even) rain or other water source
- Matt appearance sometimes seen as drawback



Coating technology: lotus effect (6)

Applicable on

- + Façades that receive rain
- + Windows; Car screen windows and mirrors
- + Bathrooms, sanitary appliances
- + Clothes (?)
- + Road signs, et cetera

Not applicable on

- Flooring
- Dry in and outdoor surfaces
- Façades that may be probe to graffiti
- In house walls





Coating technology: soil-repellant layer (1)

- Water repellent layers containing fluorine and/or silicon
 - Self assembly monolayers
 - Modifying surface with reactive groups
 - Applying through gas phase





- Familiar applications:
 - Carpets, furniture
 - Ceramics



Coating technology: soil-repellant layer (2)

PRO's and CON's

- + Water and soil repellant; can be grafitti repellant
- + Easy to clean (but cleaning of dust still needed)
- + Invisible
- + Several types commercially available
- ± Fairly durable
 - Easily mechanically damaged;
 - sometimes vulnerable to sunlight
- Not always easy to repair
- Not all types applicable on existing surfaces
 - Care must be taken to apply the coating correctly
- Needs (regular and even) water source





Coating technology: soil-repellant layer (3)

Applicable on

- + Carpet, furniture
- + Façades
- + Windows; Car screen windows and mirrors
- + Bathrooms, sanitary appliances
- + Clothes
- + Road signs, et cetera

Not applicable on

- Hard flooring
- Existing surfaces







Coating technology: brush coatings (1) (by TNO)

- Wide range of substrates: polymers, silicon, glass, metal oxides, textile, fibres, metals
- Large variety of polymers can be used
- Low adhesion of micro-organisms to surface



• Practical applications under investigation



Coating technology: brush coatings (2) (by TNO)

PRO's and CON's

- + Water and soil repellant; can be grafitti repellant
- + Applicable on many surfaces
- + Good repellancy of bacteria etc.
- + Biocompatible
- + Invisible
- ± Easy to clean?
- ± Durability currently limited
- **±** Unkown whether repairs can be made
- Not commercially available at this moment

APPLICATIONS

• Medical instruments and textiles, ships, ...??







Coating technology: catalytic layers (1)

- TiO₂ (titanium dioxide) in specific crystalline form
 - With UV-light catalyses the decomposition of organics, killing micro-organisms, removing odours
 - Keeps glass, metals very hydrophilic
 - (Rain) water runs of in even film (theoretically)





The actions of oxidation & deoxidation

Source: nanopower.co.kr

- Familiar applications:
- Glass (building, cars, mirrors)
 - coating for all kind of materials
 - Air cleaning systems (with UV source)
- Under investigation: clothing, textiles

²⁰ Source: Pilkington Glass

Coating technology: catalytic layer (2)

PRO's and CON's

- + Removes odour, greasy components
- + Kills bacteria, viruses
- + Easy to clean (with water)
- + Invisible
- + Several types commercially available
- Not easy to repair (on glass)
- Non durable
 - Chemical attack by bird dropping et cetera
 - Easily mechanically damaged;
- Not all types applicable on existing surfaces
- Needs UV (and water) source



Source: Pilkington Glass



Coating technology: catalytic layer (3)

Applicable on

- + Façades, outer window
- + Car screen windows and mirrors
- + Air filter units (with UV source)
- + Clothes (?)
- + Road signs, et cetera

Not applicable on

- Flooring
- In house surfaces





Coating technology: BioSwitch® (1) (TNO)

- Encapsulated anti-microbial agent
 - Biological trigger (e.g. specific enzyme) breaks the capsule
 - Anti-microbial agent is released
 - And destroys source of the trigger





• Practical applications under investigation



Coating technology: BioSwitch® (2)

(by TNO)

PRO's and CON's

- + Agent only released when needed
- + Applicable on many surfaces
- + Good anti-microbial effectivity

+ Invisible

- **±** For packaging only; one time use
- Sensitivity should be increased
- Not commercially available at this moment

APPLICATIONS

- Packaging of food, pharmaceuticals, medical instruments
- Drug delivery





Coating technology: other anti-microbial (1)

- Loading of surface with antimicrobial agent, e.g. silver (nano)particles
 - Can kill microbes that try to attach
 - Metallic materials (copper, silver, even steel) good alternatives
 - Prevents biofilm formation



• Practical applications under investigation



Coating technology: other antimicrobial (2)

PRO's and CON's

- + Applicable on many surfaces
- + Good anti-microbial effectivity
- + Invisible
- Durability problematic (e.g. 100-200 days for silver nanoparticles
- Concerns for human safety and environment (nanoparticles, metal ions)
- No cleaning effect, only anti-microbial

APPLICATIONS

Medical



Coating technology: summary and conclusion

PRO's and CON's

- + Plethora of options available
- + Often affordable and fairly easy to apply
- **±** Commercial success limited up to this time
- Number of (nanosized) companies booming
- Most if not all options have limited applicability
- Removal of gross dirt, residues often still needed
- Durability is often if not always problematic
- Concerns over health, environmental issues



Cleaning: Nano Green Sciences (1)



New detergent made from natural products (hence 'green')

Some claims:

- "unique nano-scale particle size of 1-4 nm"
- "The micelle is comprised of a collection of linear molecules or fatty esters and fatty acids"
- "biobased and biodegradable"
- "Its fatty acid content provides Nano Green with the added attribute of being antibacterial, antimicrobial and antifungicidal; it also possesses disinfecting and sanitizing capabilities"
- "Nano Green possesses a wide array of formulations, which are suitable for the varied and widely diverse applications of the Jan/San market"



Cleaning: Nano Green Sciences (2)



However:

- All micelles have a not so "unique nano-scale particle size of 1-4 nm"
- Many products contain "a collection of linear molecules or fatty esters and fatty acids"
- The 'greenness' of fatty acids is controversial: potential food is made into detergent; substantial amounts of pesticides are used in the production
- All products containing fatty acids "biobased and biodegradable"
- And "posses disinfecting and sanitizing capabilities"
- Many companies market "a wide array of formulations, which are suitable for the varied and widely diverse applications of the Jan/San market"

CONCLUSION





Cleaning: nanofibers

- Some producers market 'nanofibre' cleaning materials, with properties comparable to microfibres
- 'Nanofibres' appear to have diameter > 100 nm
- No real difference to microfibers !?
 No evidence for differences or smaller size.

CONCLUSION





Cleaning: nanospray

- Spraying water at very high pressure through minute nozzle
- Yields high velocity submicron droplets
- Droplets remove small particles by impact (nano-snooker)

and evaporate directly, leaving dry surface





Cleaning: nanospray

+ 100% removal of nanoparticles, even from trenches+ Little or no damage to nanostructures

- Very low production rate, suitable only for wafer, reticle cleaning





CONCLUSION

FUTURE

Cleaning: Electrically activated water

- Growing evidence of cleaning effect of electrically activated water
- Some detergency noted after mixing anodic and cathodic water
- Possible explanation: nanobubbles stabilized by (negative) electric charge
- Nanobubbles would attach to dirt, causing them to charge and repel the surface
- More experimental, independent evidence needed



Source:

www.lsbu.ac.uk/water/nanobubble.html (prof. M.L. Chaplin, London Southbank University)



???



Conclusion (with respect to jan/san cleaning)

- Nanotechnology holds some FUTURE:
 - Coatings: soil repellant, (catalytic), (antimicrobial)
 - Cleaning: electrically activated water?
 - Better understanding on nanoscale needed
- Nanotechnology is hardly a FOE:
 - Cleaning often still necessary, but easier
 - Limited applications for nanotechnology
- Nanotechnology is sometimes a FIASCO
 - Lotus effect
- And sometimes FAKE
 - Nanofibers, nano green, ...









Conclusion

- Nanotechnology is no miracle, no cure-it-all, no revolution
- Nanotechnology is part of a continuous technological development that can make our lives easier, better
- 'Nano inside' does not really matter: (cleaning) products should be effective, efficient & safe for man, material and environment
- Claims should always be substantiated, preferably by independent research bodies

